DOCUMENT PESUME

ED 056 242

24

VT 014 372

AUTHOR

Littiq, Lawrence W.

TITLE

A Study of Certain Personality Correlates of

Occupational Aspirations of Black and White College

Women. Final Report.

INSTITUTION

Howard Univ., Washington, D.C. Dept. of

Psychology.

SPONS AGENCY

Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau

of Research.

BUREAU NO

BR-0-0090

PUB DATE

Sep 71

GRANT

OEG-0-70-2725

NOTE

56p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

Achievement: Affiliation Need; Aspiration; *College

Students: *Females: Motivation: Negroes:

*Occupational Aspiration; Personality Studies;

*Racial Differences: *Social Environment

ABSTRACT

As part of a series of studies investigating occupational aspirations, this study explores the relationships between certain types of motivation and the occupational aspirations of black and white female college students. With emphasis on occupations which, by tradition, have been either open or closed to blacks, the design of the study involved three samples of 100 college women from three social settings -- white middle class, black middle class, and black working class. Instruments used in the study were the French Test of Insight and the Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students. Analysis of the data collected with these instruments revealed no systematic relationships between motivation indices and aspiration to the above occupations. However, it was noted that whites were more indecisive than the blacks regarding their real occupational goals and their ideal occupational goals. Further, it is suggested that black women are more career-oriented and use the same occupations when responding to questions about their ideal goal as they do when stating their real goal. (Author/JS)

3h V7

FINAL REPORT
Project No. 0-0090
Grant No. 0EG-0-70-2725

A STUDY OF CERTAIN PERSONALITY CORRELATES OF OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS OF BLACK AND WHITE COLLEGE WOMEN

Lawrence W. Littig
Department of Psychology
Howard University
Washington, D.C. 20001

September 1971

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education Bureau of Research

VT014372

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Final Report

Project No. 0-0090 Grant No. OEG-0-70-2725

A STUDY OF CERTAIN PERSONALITY CORRELATES OF OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS OF BLACK AND WHITE COLLEGE WOMEN

Lawrence W. Littig

Howard University

Washington, D.C. 20001

September 1971

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a grant from the Office of Education, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship, are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

Office of Education Bureau of Research



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Page |
|------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|------|---|---|---|-----|---|---|--------|-----|-----|---|-----|------|
| LIS | ST OF TABLES | | • | • | • | ٠ | ت | • | • * | • | • | ٠. | • | • | • | | iii |
| SU | MARY | | - | | | | ٠ | • | ٠ | | | | • | | | | v |
| ı. | INTRODUCTION | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | ٠ | • | 1. |
| | Background | | • | . • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ~ • | | • | | 1 |
| II. | METHOD | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | ۰ | | • | • | 4 |
| , | Subjects | ٠ | • | • | • | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | 4 |
| | Instruments | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 6 |
| | Procedure | | • | • | • | • | | • | ٠ | | ٠ | | • | ٥ | • | . • | 6 |
| III. | RESULTS | | | ۰ | • | • | • | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | | 9 |
| - | Motivation So | or | es | ٥ | ۰ | | | ۰ | ٠. | • | • | | 8 | , • | • | • | 9 |
| | Educational (| Goa | ls | | • | • | • | • | • | • | | | • | • | • | • | 12 |
| | Occupational | As | pir | ati | ons. | | • | • | •. | | | • | • | • | | • | 12 |
| IV | DISCUSSION | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | | | ٠ | 32 |
| REF | ERENCES | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | 34 |
| APP | PENDIX I | | | • | | | ٠ | ٠ | ٠. | | | , • | • | | | | 36 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Tab1 | <u>e</u> | Page |
|------|--|------|
| 1 | Predominant Social Class Status of Colleges From Occupational Class of Subject's Father | 5 |
| 2 | Number of Subjects Classified as High and Low in Achievement, Affiliation, and Power Motivation for Each College Sample | 7 |
| 3. | Intercorrelations of Motivation Scores | 79 |
| 4. | Mean Motive Scores for Subjects from White Middle Class, Black Middle Class, and Black Working Class Colleges | 11 |
| 5. | Distribution of Subjects by Academic Class | 13 |
| 6 | Aspiration to Graduate Education by Type of College . | 14 |
| 7. | Race and Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 15 |
| 8 | Aspirations of Middle Class White and Middle and Working Class Black Women to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | . 17 |
| 9 | Race and Social Class and Women's Real and Ideal Ampirations to Open and Closed Occupations | 18 |
| 10 | College Class Status, Achievement Motivation, and / Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 19 |
| 11 | College Class Status, Affiliation Motivation, and Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 20 |
| 12 | College Class Status, Power Motivation, and Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 21 |
| 13 | Race, Achievement Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 22 |
| 14 | Race, Affiliation Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations Traditonally Open and Closed to Women | 23 |
| 15 | Race, Power Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women . | 24 |

ERIC

. .

| Tab1 | .C. | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 16 | White Middle Class and Black Middle and Working Class Women Scoring Above and Below the Total Group Test Anxiety Median | 26 |
| 17 | College Class Status, Test Anxiety, and Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women | 27 |
| 18 | Race, Test Anxiety, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closes to Women | 28 |
| 19 | Mean Real and Ideal Success Expectancies of Middle Class White and Middle and Working Class Black Women | 29 |
| 20 | College Class Status and Similarity of Real and Ideal Occupational Aspirations | 31 |

SUMMARY

This study is one of a series in which the relationships between personality and occupational aspirations have been explored. Previous studies were concerned with the relationships between achievement, affiliation, and power motivation and the aspirations of black male college students to occupations which, by tradition, have been characteristically either open or closed to blacks. The present investigation explores these same variables on samples of black and white women college students. In additional, certain information was obtained about the success expectancies of college women.

The subjects of the study were 300 women. One-hundred of them were enrolled in a White Middle Class college, 100 in a Black Middle Class college, and 100 in a Black Working Class college. The subjects were administered the French Test of Insight, which was coded for the three motives, and the Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students, which was used to assess occupational aspirations and success expectancies. With women, the concept of open and closed occupations was not differential by race and the data were analyzed in terms of openness and closedness with respect to all women.

No systematic relationships emerged between the motivation indices and aspiration to occupational traditionally open and closed to women regardless of the race or the social class status of the group examined. Black and white women were found to differ in terms of their frequencies of being undecided both with respect to their real occupational goals and their ideal occupational goals, the white subjects being more undecisive than the black women. This appeared to be related to the differential between success expectancies for ideal and real aspirations which was significantly greater for white than for black women. It suggested that black women are more career-oriented than white women and use the same occupations when responding to questions about their ideal goal as they do when stating their real goal.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The research reported here represents one of a series of studies conducted by the author in which the relationships between personality and occupational aspirations have been explored. Previous studies in this series have been concerned with the relationships between achievement motivation (McClelland, Atkinson, Clark, and Lowell, 1953), Affiliation motivation (Shipley and Veroff, 1952) and power motivation (Veroff, 1957) on the occupational aspirations of black male college students (Littig, 1966; 1967; 1968a; 1968b; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). The particular concern of those studies was the exploration of the effects of individual differences in these motives on the aspirations of black males to occupations which had, by tradition, been either open or closed to them. The present study extends this line of investigation to the occupational aspirations of women, both black and white. The intention of the study is to determine whether or not the relationships which have been demonstrated for men will also occur among women. It explores the relationships among achievement, affiliation, and power motivation, in the context of social class differences, on the aspirations of black and white women to traditionally open and traditionally closed occupations. It further extends the research to the issue of the relationship between fear of failure (Atkinson and Litwin, 1960), or anxiety, and aspiration to traditionally open and closed occupations. Unlike the previous studies, however, it deals with a dual problem of accessibility to occupations. In the previous studies in which male subjects were used the concept of occupations traditionally open and closed to black males was conveniently explored by using a white male comparison group. To the extent to which differential f aspirations were found to occupations assumed to by open or closed to black males it was possible reasonably to explain these by reference to the personality variables to which they were systematically related. No such possibility exists in the present study. Because of the sexual division of labor in the United States there are broad areas of the occupational spectrum which have been traditionally closed to women, either black or white. Consequently, the current study in this series of studies has an inherent analytical problem in that the appropriate comparison groups--black and white women--function in a labor market which is to some extent exclusionary of both. It addresses itself, in fact, to the fundamental issue of whether or not being black or being a women is a stronger factor in determining access to the occupational marketplace.

Background

A review of the literature relevant to the issue of personality determinants of occupational aspirations has been presented elsewhere (Littig, 1968; 1971). Only a brief synopsis, therefore, of the findings of the author's earlier studies will be presented here. These findings are summarized as follows. The research has been concerned with the



.

relationships between achievement motivation, affiliation motivation, power motivation, and the aspirations of students attending middle class black and working class black colleges to occupations which were believed to be traditionally open and closed to blacks. Motivation was assessed by means of content analysis of the Test of Insight (French, 1958) in these studies. Occupational aspirations were determined by means of the Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students (Littig, 1965) which, among other things, asked the subject to state the job he expected to have five years after completing his education (his "real" aspiration) and the job he would most like to have (his "ideal" aspiration). College social class was based upon the predominance of students from middle class or from working class family backgrounds. If the majority of students came from families in which the head of the household was employed in a middle class occupation the college was considered to be characterizable as providing a middle class milieu for all students. Similarly, if the majority of students at a college came from families deriving support from persons employed in blue collar capacities the milieu of the college was assumed to be working class for all students.

The hypotheses guiding these previous studies were: (1) middle class college status will be related to aspiration to traditionally open occupations and working class status to aspiration to traditionally closed occupations. This hypothesis has been supported (Littig, 1968d; 1971). (2) Strong achievement motivation will be related to aspiration to traditionally closed occupations and weak achievement motivation to aspiration to traditionally open occupations. This hypothesis has received mixed support. It was confirmed in an early study (Littig, 1966; 1968b) but was not supported in later studies (Littig, 1968a; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). (3) Strong affiliation motivation will be related to aspiration to traditionally open occupations and avoidance of traditionally closed occupations. The evidence contradicts this hypothesis (Littig, 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). Weak affiliation motivation was found to be related to aspiration to traditionally open occupations. Two interactional hypotheses were examined using data obtained from men. These hypotheses were: (4) The effect of achievement motivation on aspiration to traditionally closed occupations will be maximal in a working class college milieu. This hypothesis was supported (Littig, 1968a; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). (5) The effect of affiliation motivation on aspiration to traditionally open occupations will be maximal in a middle class college milieu. The data were contradictory to this hypothesis. Rather, aspiration to traditionally open occupations among students at a middle class black college was found to be related to weak affiliation motivation and strong affiliation motivation was found to be related to aspiration to traditionally closed occupations. (Littig, 1969; 1971). This finding was interpreted as suggestive of the possibility that strong affiliation motivation may predispose black students in a middle class college environment to seek approval of the dominant white majority ' by aspiring to occupations in which they will maximize interaction with members of that majority.

Also examined in some of these reports (Littig, 1968a; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971) was the relationship between power motivation and aspiration of black students to open and closed occupations. No hypotheses had been developed because it seemed equally plausible to argue that power needs could find expression in either a traditionally open occupation, where authority over members of one's ethnic group could be considered to be reasonably likely, or in a traditionally closed occupation in which some probability of attaining authority over members of the dominant group would exist. The data, in fact, conformed to the latter proposition. Strong power motivation was found to be related to aspiration to traditionally closed occupations, especially on the part of subjects obtained from the black working class college environment.

The purpose of the present study was to generate data from black and white women subjects which could be subjected to analyses paralleling those applied to data previously obtained from black and white male subjects. To achieve that end measures of achievement, affiliation, and power motivation, of fear of failure, and of occupational aspirations were administered to women students at colleges believed to be predominantly middle class or working class and known to be either predominantly black or predominantly white with the intention of making comparative analyses between black and white subjects' occupational aspirations characterized as being either open or closed to black women. The means of classifiying occupations was adopted from Bright (1970) who studied the relationship between motive to avoid success (Horner, 1968) and black women's aspirations to what she characterized as "traditional" and "innovative" occupations. The general strategy of the present study was to classify occupations using Bright's method and then to examine the effects of the personality variables on the occupational aspirations of black and white women with the general expectation being the the effects would be systematic for black women and random for white women. In fact, as will be seen, this proved not to be the case. .

CHAPTER II

METHOD

Subjects

The subjects of this research were 200 American born black female college students and a comparison sample of 100 American born white female college students.

Black subjects. Two samples of 100 black subjects were obtained from two traditionally black colleges. On the basis of a classification of the occupations of the fathers of the students in the two. samples, one college, from which one sample of 100 was obtained, was designated Middle Class Black 1 . The other sample of 100 was designated as coming from n Working Class Black college. Table 1 presents the distributions of fathers' occupations by social class assigned to the The Working Class Black college sample comes from homes in which the father has a working class occupation in 72 instances and a middle class occupation in 23 instances. The college sample designated as Middle Class Black is almost evenly divided between middle class (46) and working class (44) fathers' occupations. This is in marked contrast to a sample drawn from this college three years ago in which the middle class and working class proportions were 61 per cent and 39 per cent respectively. The difference between the 1967 and the current sample (1970) is statistically significant ($x^2 = 13.58$, df = 1, p < .001). This difference is consistent with the impressionistic assessment that their has been a rather rapid change in the population from which middle class black colleges recruit students. As an increasing proportion of middle class black students attend traditionally white schools the schools to which middle class black parents sent their children are increasingly forced to recruit students from working class backgrounds. Nevertheless, in the current sample more students come from middle class than from working class families and a rather pronounced middle class orientation exists among the faculty and the administration. Therefore, the designation of this college as Middle Class Black will be adhered to throughout this report.

White subjects. The white comparison sample was obtained from two state universities. These subjects' fathers occupations were largely middle class (82 per cent) and the white comparison sample was designated as a White Middle Class college group. The distributions of subjects in the three samples by father's occupation and social class status assigned to the colleges are presented in Table 1. The differences for the three colleges are statistically significant. Furthermore, the colleges designated White Middle Class and Black Middle Class are significantly different from each other (X² = 20.27,

^{1.} Social class assignment of father's occupation was based upon the occupational classification developed for the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u> (1965). Professional, technical, managerial, clerical, and sales occupations were considered to be middle class. All others, except excluded farm occupations, were considered working class.

Table 1

Predominant Social Class Status of Colleges From Occupational

Class of Subject's Father

| | Predominant Class Status Assigned College | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------|-----|------------------|------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | e Claus ite | | le Class lack | | ng Class lack | | | | |
| Father's Occupation | N | 7. | N | 7 | N | 7. | | | | |
| Middle Class | 82 | 82 | 46 | 46 | , 23 | 23 | | | | |
| Working Class | 17 | 17 | 44. | 44 | 72 | 72 a/s | | | | |
| Other | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | | |

 $X^2(2df) = 67.19, p < .001$

 $\frac{df}{dt} = 1$, p < .001) and the two black colleges are significantly different ($X^2 = 13.17$, $\frac{df}{dt} = 1$, p < .001). With respect to social class designation, then, the White Middle Class and Black Working Class colleges seem to be appropriate labeled while there remains some ambiguity regarding the actual quality of the social class milieu of the college classified as Middle Class Black.

Instruments

Achievement, affiliation, and power motivation were assessed by means of content analysis (Smith and Feld, 1958) of the Test of Insight (French, 1958). The Test of Insight is a self-reporting, group administered projective device that asks the subject to respond, in writting, to 10 items, such as "Ruth always lets the other fellow win," by reporting what the person is like, what he wants to have or do, and what the result of his behavior are apt to be^2 . These reports are systematically analyzed for the three motives in terms of the dominant nature of the subject's projections. Occupational aspirations were obtained from the subjects' responses to two items on the Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students (Littig, 1966): (1) Five years after completing your education what job do you plan to have? (2) What job would you most like to have five years after completing your education if it were possible for you to have any job in the world? The first question defined the subject's real occupational aspiration and the second question her ideal aspiration. The Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students was also used to assess the subjects' expectancies regarding their successful achievement of their real and ideal goals. Fear of failure, or anxiety, was measured by means of the Test Anxiety Questionnaire (Mandler and Sarason, 1952).

Procedure

The Test of Insight, the Test Anxiety Questionnaire, and the Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of College Students were administered, in that order, to the subjects at scheduled times in classrooms of their colleges by women test administrators. A black women administered the tests to the black subjects and a white women administered them to the white subjects. The Tests of Insight were subsequently coded for the three motives by expert coders who had previously shown evidence of high intercoder reliability. Subjects were divided into high and low notivation groups by dividing them as close to their median college group score as the distributions allowed with the exception of achievement motivation. In that case, in all groups, fewer than 50 per cent of the subjects scored greater than zero and these were considered to be high in achievment motivation whereas zero and below scorers were considered to be low in achievement motivation. The median scores for affiliation motivation were 3.5, 3.5, and 2.5 for the Middle Class White, the Middle Class Black, and the Working Class Black groups respectively. For power motivation the median scores were one, zero, and zero respectively and for achievement motivation they were, of course, zero for all groups. It was decided that in the case of power motivation all subjects scoring greater than zero would be considered to be strong in the motive and all subjects scoring zero, would be considered to be weak.

Table 2

Numbers of Subjects Classified as High and Low in Achievement,

Affiliation, and Power Motivation for Each College Sample

| | Motivation | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Achievement | | Affiliation | | Power | | | | |
| Class of College | High | Low | High | Low | High | Low | | | |
| Middle White | 38 | 62 | 53 | 47 | 65 | 35 | | | |
| Middle Black | 36 | 64 | 44 | 56 | 4.6 | 54 | | | |
| Working Black | 33 | 67 | 47 | 53 | 29 | 7 1 | | | |

 X^{2}_{ach} (2df) = ...55, p > ..50

 x^2_{aff} (2df) = 1.68, p > .30

 X^{2}_{pow} (2<u>df</u>) = 26.05, <u>p</u> < .001

Table 2 presents the distributions of subjects by strength or motivation. The distributions are significantly different only in the case of power motivation where subjects designated as coming from a Middle Class White college scored significantly higher and subjects coming from a Working Class Black college scored relatively lower. In similar fashion, high and low Test Anxiety groups were established by median breaks. The median test anxiety scores for the Middle Class white college, the Middle Class Black college, and the Working Class Black college groups were 33.5, 31.5, and 36.5 respectively.

The classification of real and ideal aspirations as being ditional open and closed to black women and open and closed to women generally was undertaken following the procedure described by Bright (1971). Bright determined, on the basis of the 1960 United States Census, occupations in which women achieved greater or less than 50 per cent representation. The former, for example librarian, elementary and high school teacher, social worker, were classified as traditional occupations, whereas the latter, for example, sociologist, journalist, lawyer, were classified as innovative. Of 33 occupations classified by Bright only 6 proved to be innovative for black women and traditional for white women and, of course, none was traditional for black women and innovative for white women. When Bright's classification system was applied to the real and ideal aspirations of the present study extremely few instances occurred in which black subjects aspired to occupations traditionally closed to blacks (i.e., innovative) which were not also traditionally closed to wome generally. Consequently, it became obvious that the data could only be analyzed by using as the criterion of openness or closedness the proportional of all women in an occupation. The data, then, reflect the responses of black and white women to an occupational structure which cannot be differentiated in terms of accessibility as a function of race within sex as it could when black and white men's occupational aspirations were compared (Littig, 1966; 1967; 1968a; 196bb; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). What will be examined, then, is the reaction of black and white women to a shared occupational structure in which the concepts of traditionally open and closed apparently have the same meaning, regardless of race.

CHAPTER III RESULTS

The findings of this study will be presented under several headings appropriate to the various variables employed. Before considering these, however, certain characteristics of the samples will be described.

Motivation Scores

Intercorrelations of Scores ·

Rank order correlations were done within each college class sample between the three possible pairs of motives: achievement-affiliation; achievement-power; affiliation-power. These correlations are presented in Table 3. The average correlation was +.18 with the individual correlations ranging from -.03 between achievement and power among the Working Class Black college sample to +.28 between achievement and affiliation among the Middle Class Black college sample and between affiliation and power for the Middle Class White Sample. The majority or the correlations are significant and consequently the motivation variables cannot be though of as completely independent. It is likely, however, that the correlations are to some extent artifactual due to the fact that large numbers of subjects in each college group produced insufficient imagery to score for any motive thus producing many tied scores which entered into the computation of the rank order correlation.

Differences in Motivation Scores among the Three Samples

Table 4 presents the mean motive scores for subjects from the three college samples. There are significant differences among colleges over all motive scores, the Working Class Black sample consistently gave the lowest scores. There is also a significant different among motives without regard to college sample. No interaction is present. The highest score was obtained for affiliation motivation, then power and achievement motivation in that order although the differences between the latter two are minor. These results are similar to those found using comparable male samples (Littig, 1968). The differences among colleges also parallel those found previously using male samples. The previous interpretation (Littig, 1968), that the differences represent, because of the written nature of the task from which the motivation scores were derived and the less adequate training in written expression received by the black subjects in the Working Class Black college sample, an artifact of the test instrument rather than a true difference in magnitude of motivation among the college samples. Nevertheless, it it worth pointing out that that the data in Table 4 essentially represent a replication of earlier findings using male subjects.



Table 3
Intercorrelations of Motivation Scores

| | Predominant | Class Status As | signed College |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Rank Order Correlation Between | Middle Class White | Middle Class Black | Working Class Black |
| Achievement- Affiliation | +.14 | +.28** | +.23* |
| Achievement- Power | +.19 | +.19 | 03 |
| Affiliation- Power | +.28** | +.16 | +.22* |

^{**&}lt;u>p</u> < .01

Table 4

Mean Motive Scores for Subjects from White Middle Class, Black

Middle Class, and Black Working Class Colleges

| Class of College | N | Achievement | Affiliation | Power | A11 <u>S</u> s |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|
| Middle White | 1. 1 00 | 1.10 | 3.98 | 1.13 | 2.07 |
| Middle Black | 100 | 0.87 | 3.15 | 1.02 | 1.68 |
| Working Black | 100 | 0.31 | 2.71 | 0.52 | 1.18 |
| All <u>E</u> s | 300 | 0.76 | 3.28 | 0.89 | 1.64 |

Analysis of Variance

| Source | <u>df</u> | MS | <u>F</u> |
|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Between Ss | 299 | | |
| College (C) | 2 | 59.71 | 13.69* |
| Error | 297 | 4.36 | |
| Within Ss | 600 | | |
| Motive (M) | 2 | 603.97 | 215.70* |
| C x M | 4 | 4.48 | 1.60 |
| Error | 594 | 2.80 | N. |
| Total | 899 | | • |
| 4 //02 | | | |

One difference among the groups does appear to have psychological as well as statistical significance. When the subjects in the three college groups were compared with respect to numbers of subjects scoring above zero on power motivation the frequency for the White Middle Class college group was much greater than for either black group. Sixty-five of the White Middle Class Sample achieved scored of greater than zero compared with 46 of the Middle Class Black college sample and 39 of the Working Class Black sample ($X^2 = 25.37$, df = 2, p < .001). It is tempting to interpret this frequent presense of power imagery in the protocols of the white sample to power striving associated with women's liberation, a movement largely staffed by recruits from the white middle class with little black representation.

Class Standing

The distribution of subjects by college class standing is presented in Table 5. An attempt was made to recruit into the samples only women who were juniors or seniors and this was by in large successful. However, the proportions of juniors and seniors are significantly different with the highest proportion of Seniors being in the white sample and the highest proportion of juniors being in the Working Class Black sample. The samples may be considered to be homogeneous with respect to class standing as the major proportion of each sample consists of upperclasswomen.

Educational Goals

Graduate Education

The subjects were asked if they planned to attend graduate school. Distributions of their responses appear in Table 6. The highest proportion of aspirants to graduate school occurs among the Middle Class Black college sample and this finding replicates a similar finding previously reported for men (Littig, 1968).

Occupational Aspirations

Race

In this section and the following sections the occupational aspirations of the subjects will be compared by considering the frequencies with which subjects in the three college groups aspire to occupations classified as tradionally open, traditionally closed, or other. The "other" category incudes responses such as "don't know," "not sure," and "housewife." Essentially, it distinguishes a group of subjects who have no occupational aspirations or who are so uncertain of their aspirations that they are unable to state them.

Table 7 presents the frequencies with which black and white women aspire to the three occupational categories without respect to social class of college, that is, the two black samples are combined. The black and white samples are significantly different for both real and



18

Table 5

Distribution of Subjects by Academic Class

| | Predominant Class Status Assigned College | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|---------------|-----|----------------|------|--|--|--|
| | Middle Whi | | Middle Bla | | Working Bla | | | | |
| Academic Class | N. | * | N . | 7 | n | % | | | |
| Unclassified | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Freshman | o | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Sophomore | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | . 4 | | | |
| Junior | 41 | 41 | 55 | 55 | 74 | 74 | | | |
| Senior | 58 | ·58 | 37 | 37 | 20 | 20 ~ | | | |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |

 $X^2(4df) = 34.42$, p < .001 (Note--Comparison is of Juniors, Sophomores, and Seniors only)

ERIC

Table 6
Aspiration to Graduate Education by Type of College

| • | Pred | Predominant Class Status Assigned College | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|---|-----|----------------|------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | e Class | | e Class ack | | g Class ack | | | |
| Graduate Education | и — | 78 | N | 76 | N | % | | | |
| Yes | 64 | 64 | 84 | 84 | - 51 | 51 | | | |
| No | 28 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 49 | 49 | | | |
| Other. | 8 | 8 | 2 , | 2 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |

 $X^2(2df) = 27.75$, p < .001 (Note--Comparison is of Yes and No responses only.)

Table 7

Race and Aspiration to Occupations Traditionally

Open and Closed to Women

| , | Aspiration | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| | | Real | | Land Land | ```\. | | | | |
| Race | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | | | |
| Black | 86 | 100 | 14 | 49 | 137 | 14 | | | |
| White | 34 | 35 | 31 | 16 | 62 | 22 | | | |

 $x^2_{real}(2df) = 30.28, p < .001$

 $X^{2}_{ideal}(2df) = 15.17, p < .001$

ideal occupational aspirations. The difference is due in part to a somewhat higher proportion of black women who aspire to occupations closed to women and a substantially higher proportion of white women who report "other" aspirations.

Race and Social Class

Table 8 compares the three samples. Again, statistical significance is present for both real and ideal aspirations. The high proportion of white women aspiring to "other" remains, of course, the same but now it may be seen in Table 8 that the Middle Class Black college women account for the higher proportion of black women aspiring to closed real occupations. The "other" category used with high frequency by the Middle Class White college sample contributes to the significance obtained for both real and ideal occupational aspirations. It is evident in Table 8 that the distributions of occupational aspirations are different for real and ideal goals for all three groups with each group aspiring more frequently to closed aspirations when asked their ideal goals. These shifts in aspiration are compared for each of the three samples in Table 9. For each group the shift is significant. When asked what they would really like to do if anything were possible there is a marked tendency for women from diverse backgrounds to indicate that they would prefer an occupation that traditionally has been closed to women.

Race, Social Class, and Motivation

Tables 10, 11, and 12 present the relationships between college class status, achievement, affiliation, and power motivation respectively, and occupational aspirations. In each instance there are no significant differences among the groups when aspirations to open and closed occupations only are considered. When comparisons are made which include the "other" category statistical significance is present for both real and ideal occupations. Again, this appears to be largely attributable to the uncertainly with respect to occupational goals on the part of the Middle Class White sample. In no instance is there a systematic relationship between occupational aspirations and motivation. Because of the small expected frequencies among the two black samples in the "other" category there is some question about the accuracy of the X2 tests. Consequently, the two black samples were combined and comparisons were made between the Middle Class White sample and the combined black samples controlling for achievement (Table 13), affiliation (Table 14), and power motivation (Table 15). The same result was obtained with no significant differences being present when open and closed occupational aspirations only are compared but highly significant differences emerging when the "other" category is included. Again, the differences are attributable to the use of the "other" category by the Middle Class White college sample and its relative avoidance by the combined black samples. A relatively stable race-related aspirational phenomenon is present which is not further relatable to any of the three motivational variables.



22

Table 8

Aspirations of Middle Class White and Middle and Working Class Black

Women to Occupations Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | Aspiration | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------|--|
| | | Real | | | Ideal | | |
| Race and | | <u>.</u> | , | | | | |
| Social Class | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | |
| Middle Class White | 34 | 35 | 31 | 16 | 62 | 22 | |
| Middle Class Black | 39 | 53 | 8 | 17 | 73 | 10 | |
| Working Class Black | 47 | 47 | 6 | 32 | 64 | . 4 | |

 $x^2_{real}(2\underline{df}) = 31.62, p < .001$

 $x^2_{ideal}(2df) = 21.96, p < .001$

Table 9

Race and Social Class and Women's Real and Ideal Aspirations

to Open and Closed Occupations

| | | | Aspiration | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------|------------|----------------|
| Race and Social Class | Occupation Type . | Open | Closed | Other |
| Middle Class | Real | 34 | 35 | o. 31 r |
| Whitel | Ideal | 16 | 62 | 22 |
| Middle Class | Real | 39 | 53 | 8 |
| Black ² | Ideal | 17 | 73 | 10 |
| Working Class | Real | 47 | 47 | 6 |
| Black ³ | Ideal | 32 | 64 | |

^{1.} $x^2(2df) = 15.52$, p < .001

^{2.} $x^2(2df) = 12.04, p < .01$

^{3.} $X^2(2df) = 8.01, p < .02$

Table 10

College Class Status, Achievement Motivation, and Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | , | Aspir | ation | | |
|-------------------------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------------|
| | | • | Real | 1.0 | | Ideal | |
| College Class Status | Ach Mot | 0pen | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other |
| Middle Class White | High | 11 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 22 | 7 |
| | Low | 23 | 21 | 18 | 9 | 40 | 14 |
| Middle Class | High | 13 | 21 | 2 | · · 7 | 24 | 5 · |
| Black | Low | 26 | 32 | 6 | 10 | 49 | . 5 |
| Working Class Black | High | 15 | 16 | 2 | 10 | 21 | 1 |
| | Low | 32 | 31 | 4 | 22 | 43 | 3 |

$$X^2_{real}(5df) = 2.15, p > .50$$

$$X^{2}_{ideal}(5df = 8.67, p < .20)$$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other

$$x^2_{real}(10df) = 33.30, p < .001$$

$$x^2_{ideal}(10df) = 22.57, p < .02$$



Table 11

College Class Status, Affiliation Motivation, and Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | | Aspira | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|-------|
| | | | Real | • | | Ideal | • |
| × ollege Class Status | Aff Mot | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other |
| Middle Class White | H1gh | 19 | 18 | 17 | .19 | 30 | 13 |
| | Low | 15 | 17 | 14 | . 8 | 32 | 8 |
| Middle Class | High | 17 | . 24 | 3 | 6 | 31 | 7 |
| Black ' | Low | 22 | 29 | 5 | 11 | 42 | . 3 |
| Working Class Black | High | 23 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 1 |
| | Low | 24 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 45 | ·. 3 |

$$x^2_{real}(5df) = 1.35, p > .50$$

$$x^2_{ideal}(5df) = 6.48, p < .30$$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

$$x^{2}_{ideal}(10df) = 26.41, p < .01$$

Table 12

College Class Status, Power Motivation, and Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | Aspiration | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------|--------|-------|--|
| | | • • | Real | | | Ideal | | |
| College Class Status | Pow Mot | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | |
| Middle Class White | High | 22 | 24 | 19 | 12 | 38 | 15 | |
| | Low | 12 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 24 | 6 | |
| Middle Class | High | 22 | 22 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 4 | |
| Black | Low | 17 | 31 | 6 | 9 | 39 | · 6 | |
| Working Class Black | High | 13 | 15 | 4 | .12 | 16 | 1 | |
| | Low | 34 | 32 | 2 | 20 | 48 | 3 | |

$$X^2_{real}(5df) = 3.56, p > .50$$

$$X^2_{ideal}(5\underline{df}) = 8.23, \underline{p} < .20$$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

$$x^2_{real}(10df) = 35.23, p < .001$$

$$x^2_{ideal}(10df) = 26.93, p < .01$$

Table 13

Race, Achievement Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations

/ Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| t v | | | | | Aspira | ation | | |
|-------|------------|---|------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | | Real | | | Ideal | |
| Race | Ach Mot | | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other |
| | High | ı | 11 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 7 |
| White | Low | | 23 | 21 | 18 | 7 | 40 | 15 |
| m. 1 | High | | 28 | . 37 | 4 | 17 | 45 | -: 6 |
| Black | Low | · | 58 | 63 | lo . | 32 | 92 | 8 |

 $x^2_{real}(3df) = 1.04, p > .50$

 $x^{2}_{ideal}(3df) = 3.11, p > .30$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

 $x^2_{real}(6df) = 31.76, p < .001$

 $x^{2}_{ideal}(6df) = 18.05, p < .01$

Table 13

Race, Achievement Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | | Aspir | ation | • | |
|----------|------------|------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | Real | | | Ideal | |
| Race | Ach Mot | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other |
| 77. 34.0 | High | 11 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 7 |
| White | Low | 23 | 21 | 18 | 7 | 40 | 15 |
| . | High | 28 | 37 | 4. | 17 | 45 | 6 |
| B1ack | Low | 58 | 63 | 10 | 32 | 92 | 8 |

 $x^2_{real}(3df) = 1.04, p > .50$

 $x^{2}_{1deal}(3df) = 3.11, p > .30$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

 $x^2_{real}(6df) = 31.76, p < .001$

 $x^{2}_{ideal}(6df) = 18.05, p < .01$

Table 14

Race, Affiliation Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | Aspiration | | | | | | |
|-------|------------|------|------------|-------|------|--------|-------|--|--|
| , | | | Real. | | | Idea1 | | | |
| Race | Aff Mot | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | | |
| White | High | , 11 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 7 | | |
| wnite | Low | 23 | 21 | 18 | 7. | 40 | 15 | | |
| Black | High | 28 | 37 | 4 | 17 | 45 | 6. | | |
| DINCK | Low | 58 | 63 | 10 | 32 | 92 | 8, | | |

 $x^2_{real}(3df) = 1.04, p > .50$

 $X^{2}_{ideal}(3df) = 3.11, p > .30$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

 $x^2_{real}(6df) = 31.76, p < .001$

 $X^{2}_{ideal}(6\underline{df}) = 18.05, p < .01$



Table 15

Race, Power Motivation, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | | Aspiration | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|------|------------|-------|------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | | . Ceal | | | Ideal | | | |
| Race | Powe Mot | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | | |
| | High | 22 | 24 | 19 | 12 | 38 | 15 | | |
| White | Low | 12 | 11 | 12 | 5 | 24 | 6 | | |
| | High | . 35 | 37 | 6 | 20 | 50 | 5 | | |
| Black | Low | 51 | 63 | 8 | 29 | 87 | 9 | | |

$$x^2_{real}(3df) = .57, p > .50$$

$$x^2_{ideal}(3df) = 1.44, p > .50$$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

$$x^2_{real}(6df) = 30.92, p < .001$$

$$x^{2}_{ideal}(6df) = 14.85, p < .05$$



Anxiety

In addition to the approach motives of achievement, affiliation, and power the avoidance disposition referred to as motive to avoid failure by Atkinson and Litwin (1960) was inferred from scores on the Test Anxeity Questionnaire (Mandler and Sarason, 1952). Table 16 presents the distributions of subjects on the Test Anxiety Questionnaire with respect to the median score (34.5) over all subjects. The three samples are significantly different with the greatest propertion of high scorers coming from the Working Class Black college sample and the smallest portion coming from the Middle Class Black college sample. The Middle Class White college sample distributes itself amost evenly about the median. In spite of these differences in magnitude of anxiety scores among the three samples there are no significant relationships between anxiety and occupational aspirations (Table 17). The usual pattern is present with the absence of significance when open and closed aspirations are compared and appresence of significance when the "other" category is included and the source of significance is attributable to the White Middle Class college group. When the two black samples are combined (Table 18) to increase the size of the expected frequencies within the black "other" category the same results persist.

Success Expectancies

In additional to being asked to state their real and ideal occupational goals the subjects were asked to associate with these goals the probabilities with which they expected to attain them. The mean success expectancies for each of the three samples for real and ideal occupational aspirations appear in Table 19. For all groups there is a higher expectancy of successful attainment of the real occupational goal than of the ideal occupational goal. There is, furthermore, a significant difference among the three college samples with respect to occupational goal attainment, regardless of the type of goal. It is the Middle Class Black college group that has the highest overall success expectancy and the Middle Class White college group that has the lowest overall expectation of success. There is an interaction present which helps to clarify this finding. This interaction can be described in terms of the discrepancies between expectations about the attainment of real goals and the attainment of ideal goals. That discrepancy for the Middle Class White college sample is 28.3; for the Middle Class Black college sample it is 16.6; for the Working Class Black college sample it is 9.6. In other word, the Working Class Black college sample has a relatively modest (59.5) expectancy of attaining their real goal and this does not drop markedly when the ideal goal is considered. The Middle Class Black college sample has a somewhat higher expectancy of attaining its real goals and the expectancy drops to about the level of the Working Class Black college sample when Ideal goals are reported. It is, unexpectedly, the Middle Class White college group that drops dramatically from a real goal expectancy minimally higher (59.7) than that of the Working Class Black college group to a very low (31.4) expectancy of success when Ideal goal expectancies are considered.



Table 16

White Middle Class and Black Middle and Working Class Women Scoring

Above and Below the Total Group Test Anxiety Median (34.5)

| , | | College Class Status | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----|----------------------|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|--|--|--|
| · | | e Class | | e Class ack | | g Class ack | | | |
| Median | N | 7. | N | % | N | 76 | | | |
| Above | 47 | 47 | 38 | 38 | 63 | 63 | | | |
| Below | 53 | 53 | 62 | 62 | 37 | 37 | | | |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |

Table 17

College Class Status, Test Anxiety, and Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | Aspiration | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Real | | Phane, | Ideal | | | |
| Test Anxiety | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | | |
| High | 19 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 29 | 10 | | |
| Low | 15 | 16 | 21 | 8 | 33 | 11 | | |
| High | 21 | 27 | 2 | . 8 | 38 | 3 | | |
| Low | 18 | 26 | 5 | 9 | 35 | 7 | | |
| High | 21 | 24 | 4 | 12 | °5 | . 3 | | |
| Low | 26 | 23 | 2 | 20 | 29 | 1 | | |
| | High Low High Low High | High 19 Low 15 High 21 Low 18 High 21 | Cest inxiety Open Closed High 19 19 Low 15 16 High 21 27 Low 18 26 High 21 24 | Cest inxiety Open Closed Other High 19 19 10 Low 15 16 21 High 21 27 2 Low 18 26 5 High 21 24 4 | Cest inxiety Open Closed Other Open High 19 19 10 9 Low 15 16 21 8 High 21 27 2 8 Low 18 26 5 9 High 21 24 4 12 | Cest inxiety Open Closed Other Open Closed High 19 19 10 9 29 Low 15 16 21 8 33 High 21 27 2 8 38 Low 18 26 5 9 35 High 21 24 4 12 25 | | |

 $x^2_{real}(5df) = 1.72, p > .50$

 $x^2_{1deal}(5df) = 9.20, p < .20$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

 $x^2_{real}(10df) = 41.34, p < .001$

 $x^{2}_{ideal}(10df) = 25.95, p < .01$

Table 18

Race, Test Anxiety, and Women's Aspiration to Occupations

Traditionally Open and Closed to Women

| | | Aspiration | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------|------------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | | . Real | | | Ideal | | | |
| Race | Test Anxiety | Open | Closed | Other | Open | Closed | Other | | |
| White | High | 19 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 29 | 10 | | |
| wuite | Low | 15 | 16 | 21 | 8 | 33 | 11 | | |
| =• • | High | 41 | 51 | 6 | 20 | 73 | 6 | | |
| Black | Low | 44 | 49 | 8 | 29 | 64 | 8 | | |

$$x^2_{real}(3df) = .20, p > .50$$

$$x^{2}_{idea1}(3df) = 2.48, p > .30$$

Comparison of Open, Closed, and Other:

$$x^2_{real}(6df) = 37.89, p < .001$$

$$x^{2}_{ideal}(6df) = 12,72, p < .05$$

Table 19

Mean Real and Ideal Success Empectancies of Middle Class White and

Middle Class and Working Class Black Women

| D | | | and the same of th |
|--------------------------|------|-------|--|
| Race and Social Class | Real | Idea1 | Combined |
| Middle Class White | 59.7 | 31.4 | 45.6 |
| Middle Class E_ack | 66.4 | 49.8 | 58.1 |
| Working Class Black | 59.5 | 49.9 | 54.7 |
| Combined | 61.9 | 43.9 | 52,9 |

Analysis of Variance

| Source | df | <u>MS</u> | <u>F</u> |
|--------------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| Between Ss | 292 | | |
| College (C) | 2 | 82.47 | 11.09* |
| Error | 290 | 7.43 | • |
| Within Ss | | | |
| Expectancy | 1 | 484.10 | 101.09* |
| (E) C x E | 2 | 43.10 | 9.00* |
| Error | 290 | 4.79 | |
| Total | 585 | | |
| *p < .01 | | | |



The explanation of this difference among these three groups is found in comparing the similarities and differences in their real and ideal occupational aspirations. Table 20 presents the frequencies with which each of the three college groups aspires to the same or different goals (including the "other" category) when asked about their real and their ideal occupational aspirations. It is clear that the Working Class Black sample in substantial proportion ascribes to the same ideal and real occupations and consequently are reporting the same expectancies for real and ideal occupations. The Middle Class White college sample is doing the opposite and this accounts for their relatively large discrepancy. The Middle Class Black college sample is in between the other two in terms of the size of its discrepancy between real and ideal expectations and in the frequencies with which its ideal and real aspirations coincide.

Table 20

College Class Status and Similarity of Real and

Ideal Occupational Aspirations

| Same | Different |
|------|-----------|
| 38 | 49 |
| 49 | 46 |
| 59 | 37 |
| | 38 |



CHAPTER IV

Few of the results of this study parallel to obtained in comparable studies using male subjects (Littig, 1966; 1967; 1968a; 1968b; 1968c; 1968d; 1969; 1971). Unlike those studies there were no systematical relationships between motivation and aspirations to traditionally open and closed occupations. One explanation of this absense of relationships lies in the difficulty of distinguishing, for women, occupations that are differentially open or closed as a function of race. Rather, it appears that we are dealing with a concept that is sex-related rather than race-related. Neither the white nor the black group manifested any consequences, either alike or different, that could be attributed to the motivation variables of achievement, affiliation, and power or the anxiety variable of fear of failure. To the extend that both white and black groups responded in the same random fashion with respect to motivation and occupational aspirations it perhaps is possible to infer that they have a similar perception of the occupational hierarchy as it affects them but that in neither group is that perception related to personality as it was when male subjects were employed.

There were, however, two differences which distinguished among the groups. Subjects whose aspirations could not be classified as either traditionally open or closed to women were signific tly more prevalent among the Middle Class White college sample than among either the Middle Class Black or the Working Class Black college samples. This was the case for both their real and their ideal aspirations. Nonclassifiable responses were "housewife," "don't know," "not sure," or some equally vague and noncommital answer. It would seem, then, that black women are significantly more work-oriented than white women, at least among college samples. They are more clearly attending college because it is instrumental to the achievement of an occupation than because of the other kinds of experiences a college education offers.

A second, and probably related, finding was that there was a rather great difference between the expectancy with which the women in the White Middle Class college sample believed they would attain their real goals and their expectancy of attainment of their ideal goals whereas among the Black Working Class college sample the discrepancy was quite small. This suggested that perhaps the latter group designated the same goals as their ideal goals as they did for their real goals whereas the former group, when asked to state their ideal goal, indicated something quite different from their real goal. The differences and similarities between real and ideal goals were examined and it was found that indeed the Working Class Black college group did indicate similar real and ideal goals where the Middle Class White group did not (the Middle Class Black group was

ERIC Foulded by ERIG

. a

intermediate). It appears, then, that as a function of both race and social class, there are differences in setting real and ideal goals. Black working class college subjects remain concrete and reality-oriented when asked about what they would really like to do if anything were possible and consequently assign relatively high probabilities to the attainment of their ideal goal vis-a-vis their real goals. White middle class subjects, on the other hand, tend to be much more fanciful when stating ideal goals and as a result assign considerably lower probabilities to their attainment.

Attention should be called to another analysis of these data (Littig and Okediji, 1971; Okediji, 1971). The following question was addressed to the data: What is the relationship between motivation and aspiration to occupations with which motive-related incentives are associated? In that analysis significant relationships were found between strong achievement motivation and aspiration to occupations offering achievement-related incentive among the Middle Class White college sample and a significant relationship was found among the Working Class Black sample between power motivation and aspiration to occupations offering power-related incentives. An interpretation was made relating the former findings to the women's liberation movement and the latter findings to the black power movement.

References

- Atkinson, J. W., and Litwin, G. H. Achievement motive and test anxiety conceived as motive to approach success and motive to avoid failure. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>, 1960, 60, 52-63.
- Bright, Margruetta V. Factors related to the traditionality or innovativeness of career choices in black college women. Master's Thesis, Howard University, 1971, Washington, D.C.
- <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1965.
- French, Elizabeth G. Development of a measure of complex motivation. In J. W. Atkinson (Ed.), Motives in fantasy, action, and society. Princeton, N.J.: D. Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Horner, Martina, Sex differences in achievement motivation and performance in competitive and noncompetitive situations. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1968, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Littig; L. W. Achievement motivation and intergenerational occupational mobility. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1965, 1. 386-389.
- Littig, L. W. Personality factors related to occupational aspirations of Negro college students. Technical Report, Howard University, Contract No. OE-6-85-003, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education, 1966.
- Littig, L. W. Negro personality correlates of aspiration to traditionally open and closed occupations. Eastern Psychological Association, Boston, April, 1967.
- Littig, L. W. Projective research and social change. In, <u>Proceedings</u> of the 7th International Congress of Rorschach and Other Projective Techniques. Berne: Hans Huber, 1968a.
- Littig, L. W. Negro personality correlates of aspiration to traditionally open and closed occupations in America. <u>Journal of</u> Negro Education, 1968b, 37, 31-36.
- Littig, L. W. Motives of Negroes who aspire to traditionally open and closed occupations. In, <u>Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of Applied Psychology</u>. Amsterdam: Swets and Zeitlinger, 1968c.
- Littig, L. W. A study of certain personality correlates of occupational aspirations of Negro and white students. Technical Report, Howard University, Grant No. OEG2-7-068277-2646, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1968d.



- Littig, L. W. Personality and job aspirations: A longitudinal study. Technical Report, Howard University, Grant No. 3 Ro MH15846-OlS1, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Institute of Mental Health, 1969.
- Littig, L. W. Motives of Negro Americans who aspire to traditionally open and closed occupations. <u>Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology</u>, 1971, 2, 77-86.
- Littig, L. W., and Okediji, P. A. Personality, race, and social class determinants of occupational selection among women.

 17th International Congress of Applied Psychology, Liege, Belgium, July, 1971.
- Mandler, G., and Sarason, S. B. A study of anxiety and learning.

 Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1952, 47, 166-173.
- McClelland, D. C., Atkinson, J. W., Clark, R. A., and Lowell, E. L.. The <u>achievement motive</u>. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1953.
- Okediji, P.A. The vocational asp!rations of black and white college females and their personality correlates. Master's Thesis, Howard University, 1971, Washington, D.C.
- Shipley, T. E., and Veroff, J. A projective measure of need affiliation. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1952, 43, 349-356.
- Smith, C. P., and Feld, Sheila, How to learn the method of content analysis for <u>n</u> Achievement, <u>n</u> Affiliation, and <u>n</u> Power. In J. W. Atkinson (Ed.), <u>Motives in fantasy, action, and society</u>. Princeton, N.J.: D. Van Nostrand, 1958.
- Veroff, J. Development and validation of a projective measure of power motivation. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>. 1957, 54, 1-8.



APPENDIX I THE INSTRUMENTS



HOWARD UNIVERSITY

Department of Psychology

TEST OF INSIGHT

This is a test of your understanding of the reasons why people behave as they do. You will be given a characteristic behavior of each of a number of women. Your task is to explain why each woman behaves as she does. Read each description and then decide what you think would be the reason why a woman does what this woman does. Decide what this person is like, what she wants to have or do, and what the results of her behavior are apt to be. If you think of more than one explanation give only the one you think is most likely. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

| Name | | | | | |
|------|----------|----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| (For | research | purposes |) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |



- 1. Dorothy always lets the "other girl" win.
- 2. Sharon feels upset if she hears that anyone is criticizing or blaming her.

- 3. Rita enjoys organizing groups and committees.
- 4. Brenda is always willing to listen.
- 5. Ruth would rather follow than lead.

6. Linda never joins clubs or social groups.

7. Mary's friends can always depend on her for a loan.

8. Donna is always trying something new.

9. Joan said, "They probably won't ask me to go with them."

10. Gloria said, "I'm pretty sure I can do it."



HOWARD UNIVERSITY

Department of Psychology

TEST OF INSIGHT

This is a test of your understanding of the reasons why people behave as they do. You will be given a characteristic behavior of each of a number of women. Your task is to explain why each woman behaves as she does. Read each description and then decide what you think would be the reason why a woman does what this woman does. Decide what this person is like, what she wants to have or do, and what the results of her behavior are upt to be. If you think of more than one explanation give only the one you think is most likely. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

| Name | | | | | | |
|------|----------|----------|---|--|--|--|
| (For | research | purposes |) | ······································ | | |



- 1. Dorothy always lets the "other girl" win.
- 2. Sharon feels upset if she hears that anyone is criticizing or blaming her.
- 3. Rita enjoys organizing groups and committees.
- 4. Brenda is always willing to listen.
- 5. Ruth would rather follow than lead.

- 6. Linda never joins clubs or social groups.
- 7. Mary's friends can always depend on her for a loan.
- 2. Donna is always trying something new.
- 9. Joan said, "They probably won't ask me to go with them."
- 10. Gloria said, "I'm pretty sure I can do it."

Howard University

Department of Psychology

QUESTIONNALRE ON ATTITUDES TOWARD UNIVERSITY COURSE EXAMINATIONS NAME (Please print)

This questionnaire is designed to give you an opportunity to indicate how and what you feel in regard to taking a course examination. One of the main reasons for constructing this questionnaire is the fact that very little is known about people's feelings toward the taking of various kinds of tests. We can assume that people differ in the degree to which they are affected by the fact that they are going to take a test or by the fact that they have taken a test. What we are particularly interested in here is how widely people differ in their opinions of and reactions to taking tests in college.

The value of this questionnaire will in large part depend on how frank you are in stating your opinions, feelings, and attitudes. Needless to say, your answers to the questions will be kept strictly confidential; they will under no circumstances be made known to any instructor or official of the university.

We are asking you to give your name only because it may be necessary for research purposes.

Each of you has had a course examination. We want to know your attitudes and feelings toward taking such a test and not what you think they should be. Please answer the questions in terms of your actual experiences.

For each question there is a line or scale on the ends of which are statements of opposing feelings or attitudes. In the middle of the line you will find either the word "Midpoint" or a phrase, both of which are intended to reflect a feeling or attitude which is in-between the statements of opposing feeling described above. You are required to put a mark (X) on that point on the line which you think best indicates the strength of you feeling or attitude about the particular question. The midpoint is only for your guidance. Do not hesitate to put a mark on any point on the line as long as that mark reflects the strength of your feeling or attitude.

If you have any questions at this time, please ask them now of the person who has passed out the questionnaire.

THERE ARE NO "CATCH" QUESTIONS IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE. PLEASE READ EACH QUESTION AND EACH SCALE VERY CAREFULLY. THERE IS NO TIME LIMIT.



THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEELING OR ATTITUDE.

The following questions relate to your attitude toward and experiences with the course examination. We refer to major examinations such as midterms or finals, in all courses, not specifically in any one cours. Try to represent your usual feelings and attitudes toward these examinations in general, not toward any specific examination you have taken. We realize that the comparative ease or difficulty of a particular course and your attitude toward it and the subject matter of the course may influence your attitude toward the examinations; however, we would like you to try to express your feelings toward course examinations generally. Remember that your answers to these questions will not be available, at any time, to any of your instructors or to any official of the university.

| mining what a pe | rson knows? | |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| / | | |
| Very valuable | Valuable in some | Valuele |
| | respects and valueless | |
| | in others | |

1. How valuable do you think course examinations are in deter-

2. Do you think that course examinations should be used more wisely than at present to classify stude ts?

| | // | / |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Should be used | Should be used | Should be used |
| less widely | as at present | more widely |

3. Would you be willing to stake your continuance in college on the results of one yearly examination on the materials you have covered in all your courses during the year?

| | / | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Very willing | Uncertain | Not willing |

THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEELING OR ATTITUDE.



THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEEL-ING OR ATTITUDE.

| 4. | Before taking a course aware of an "uneasy for | | what extent are you |
|----|--|----------|----------------------------------|
| | 1 | / | / |
| | Am not aware of it at all | Midpoint | Am very much aware of it |
| 5• | When you are taking a you feel your emotions your performance? | | |
| | / | 1 | / |
| | Do not interfere with it at all | Midpoint | Interfere a great deal |
| 6. | If you know that you a how do you feel before | | a course examination, |
| , | / | 1 | / |
| , | Feel very unconfident | Midpoint | Feel very confident |
| 7• | After you have taken a you feel that you have | | ion, how confident do |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Feel very unconfident | Midpoint | Feel very confident |
| 8. | While taking a course experience an accelera | | what extent do you |
| | 1 | / | / |
| | Heartbeat does not accelerate | Midpoint | Heartbeat noticeably accelerated |

THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEEL-ING OR ATTITULE.



THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEEL-ING OR ATTITUDE.

| 9• | Before taking a course exa experience an accelerated | mination, to wh heartbeat? | nat extend do you |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | , | 1 . | |
| | | Midpoint I | Heartbeat noticeably |
| | TOUT BOOM A MAGA | мторотие т | accelerated |
| | not accelerate | • | 9006Telanoa |
| | at all | | |
| 10. | While taking a course exam | ination to what | t extent do you worry? |
| _ | , · | | / |
| ?. | | Midpoint | Worry not at all |
| | Worry a lot | мторожие | WOII'y 1100 as all |
| 11. | Before taking a course exa | mination to wha | at extent do you worry? |
| | | 1 | · / |
| | Worry a lot | Midpoint | Worry not at all |
| | worry a loc | HECEOTHO. | No. |
| rs. | While taking a course exam | nination to what | t extent do you perspire? |
| | 1 | 1 | / |
| • | Never perspire | Midpoint | Perspire a lot |
| | MeAct betebrie | WEGDOTHE | |
| 13. | Before taking a course exa | mination to who | at extent do you perspire? |
| | , | , | |
| | N | Midpoint | Perspire a lot |
| | Never perspire | Midborno | 101200110 |
| - 1. | When, in your opinion, you | . fool well nee | named for a course |
| 14. | when, in your opinion, you | Tiest werr bis | t before the examination? |
| | examination, how do you us | snatth teer ing | o perore due cummination |
| | | 1 | / |
| | | Midpoint | Anxious |
| | Confident | мтаротис | outline A Ma |
| merci | MINDOINE TO ONLY FOR YOUR | GUITDANCE, DO | NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK () |

THE MIDPOINT IS ONLY FOR YOUR GUIDANCE. DO NOT HESITATE TO PUT A MARK (X) ON ANY POINT ON THE LINE AS LONG AS THAT MARK REFLECTS THE STRENGTH OF YOUR FEEL-ING OR ATTITUDE.



Howard University

Office of Education

Questionnaire on Vocational Goals of Students

Your cooperation _1 being asked in a study of the kinds of occupations students look forward to achieving upon leaving. Your answers to these questions will be kept strictly confidential and will not be made available to any school officials. We are asking for this information for research purposes only.

| Name |
|---|
| Class: Freshman Sophomore Junior Senior |
| Birthdate: Year Month Day |
| Major field: |
| Year you expect to graduate: |
| Do you plan to go on to graduate or professional school? |
| YesNo |
| (If yes) What degree do you plan to study for? |
| One year after completing your education, what job do you expect to have? Please be specific. |
| Five years after completing your education, what job do you plan to have? Please be specific. |
| On the line below please put a mark (x) at the point which best indicates what you think your chances are of having that job <u>five</u> <u>years</u> from now. |
| |
| |
| Absolutely certain A 50% chance I Absolutely certain I will have it. I will not have it. |
| |



| very great | Midpoint | No effort at all |
|---|---|---|
| effort | | at all |
| vould you choose <u>or</u> this could be the : | e for you to have ANY job ne year after completing job you expect to have or now is what your "dream ; | your education? (Note: rany other job. What |
| e would like to ki | low is what your dream . | JOD IS. Lease De Spec |
| What job would you | most like to have five | years after completing y |
| ducation if it wer | re possible for you to ha | ave any job in the world |
| | 85*N-P-11********************************* | |
| | please put a mark (X) at | |
| ndicates what you ob" five years fro | think your chances are om now? | of having your "dream |
| i | | / |
| bsolutely certain | A 50% chance I At | solutely certain |
| I will have it. | will have it. I | will not have it. |
| 100% chance | | 0% chance |
| ow much effort do dream job" <u>five y</u> e | you feel you are putting ears from now. Place a m | g into achieving your mark on the line. |
| | 1 | / |
| 1 | Midpoint | No effort |
| very great | | |
| very great effort | | at all |
| effort | HELP TO BE | |
| effort hat would you say | | |
| effort hat would you say | is the most important fa | |



| 17. | What do you expect your annual income will be during your fifth year after completing your education? |
|------|--|
| 18. | What is your father's occupation? Please be specific, describe what he does if necessary. (If deceased, what did he do?) |
| 19. | What was your father's highest level of academic achievement? |
| | (Please circle) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Some College |
| | College graduate Post-graduate and Professional |
| 20. | What is your mother's occupation? Please be specific. (If deceased, what did she do?) |
| 21. | What was your mother's highest level of academic achievement? |
| | (Please circle) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Some college |
| | College graduate Post-graduate and Professional |
| 22. | What is your parents' annual income? |
| 23. | What is your hometown? |
| | City State (or foreign country) |
| 214. | What is your grade point average? (Guess, if necessary) |
| 25. | Which of the following terms best describes your family (Please check) |
| | Upper Class Middle Class Working Class Lower Class |
| 26. | Are your parents married, separated,, divorced, other? |
| | (Eralein) |

